AGENDA
SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING
CITY OF BANNING
BANNING, CALIFORNIA

February 14, 2017                                        Banning Civic Center
3:30 p.m.                                                Council Chambers
99 E. Ramsey St.

I. CALL TO ORDER
   • Roll Call – Councilmembers Andrade, Franklin, Peterson, Welch, Mayor Moyer

II. PUBLIC COMMENTS – On Items Not on the Agenda

   A five-minute limitation shall apply to each member of the public who wishes to address the Mayor and Council on a matter not on the agenda. A thirty-minute time limit is placed on this section. No member of the public shall be permitted to “share” his/her five minutes with any other member of the public. (Usually, any items received under this heading are referred to staff or future study, research, completion and/or future Council Action.) (See last page. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.

III. STUDY SESSION

   1. Statewide Community Infrastructure Program (SCIP) (ORAL)
      (Staff Report – Ted Shove, Economic Development Manager)

IV. ADJOURNMENT

Pursuant to amended Government Code Section 54957.5(b) staff reports and other public records related to open session agenda items are available at City Hall, 99 E. Ramsey St., at the office of the City Clerk during regular business hours, Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

The City of Banning promotes and supports a high quality of life that ensures a safe and friendly environment, fosters new opportunities and provides responsive, fair treatment to all and is the pride of its citizens.
NOTICE: Any member of the public may address this meeting of the Mayor and Council on any item appearing on the agenda by approaching the microphone in the Council Chambers and asking to be recognized, either before the item about which the member desires to speak is called, or at any time during consideration of the item. A five-minute limitation shall apply to each member of the public, unless such time is extended by the Mayor. No member of the public shall be permitted to “share” his/her five minutes with any other member of the public.

Any member of the public may address this meeting of the Mayor and Council on any item which does not appear on the agenda, but is of interest to the general public and is an item upon which the Mayor and Council may act. A five-minute limitation shall apply to each member of the public, unless such time is extended by the Mayor. No member of the public shall be permitted to “share” his/her five minutes with any other member of the public. The Mayor and Council will in most instances refer items of discussion which do not appear on the agenda to staff for appropriate action or direct that the item be placed on a future agenda of the Mayor and Council. However, no other action shall be taken, nor discussion held by the Mayor and Council on any item which does not appear on the agenda, unless the action is otherwise authorized in accordance with the provisions of subdivision (b) of Section 54954.2 of the Government Code.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk's Office (951) 922-3102. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting. [28 CFR 35.02-35.104 ADA Title II]
STATEWIDE COMMUNITIES INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM (SCIP)

February 14, 2017
Question: Why ‘Opt-in’?

• SCIP significantly reduces staff time over time – does not include Admin Fee

• Program criteria, thresholds = limited use

• CSCDA template – ‘opt-in’ allows for staff-level project approvals

• Can the City ‘Opt-out’ if program isn’t a good fit? – Yes

• Use of public financing tools for private development
Other Jurisdiction's Usage

- Bakersfield – Commercial/medical complex (2015)
  - $11M
  - streets, traffic signals, wet utilities

- Palm Springs – The Arrive Hotel (2014)
  - $575K – wet utilities, electric undergrounding

- Lake Elsinore – 700 unit residential project (2016)
  - Recently considered SCIP to finish defunct housing tracts

- La Verne – 300 unit residential project (2016), developer did not pursue

- Bakerfield – Commercial/medical complex (2015)
  - $11M
  - streets, traffic signals, wet utilities
Direction to Staff

• Should the City charge an Admin Fee?
• Should the City 'Opt-in' to SCIP?
• What level of process control is desired by Council?
• Should any type of development be restricted (i.e. residential, commercial, industrial)?